	Exceptional - **10, 9**	Good - **8, 7**	Acceptable - **6, 5**	Poor - **4, 3, 2**	Failure - **1,0**
Communication in source code (Readability - documentation, instructive variable names, program layout, indentation)	The code is exceptionally well-organized and easy to follow. Documentation is well-written and clearly explains code.	The code is organized and fairly easy to follow. Documentation is helpful in explaining code.	The code is not organized, but is moderately easy to read. Documentation is somewhat useful in understanding the code.	The code is readable only by someone who knows what it is supposed to be doing. Documentation is not useful in understanding the code.	The code cannot be comprehended. Documentation is non-existent.
Knowledge/Understanding	Demonstrates thorough understanding of concepts and appropriate use of language features.	Demonstrates considerable understanding of concepts and appropriate use of language features.	Demonstrates some understanding of concepts and appropriate use of language features.	Demonstrates limited understanding of concepts and appropriate use of language features.	Demonstrates no understanding of concepts and appropriate use of language features.
Efficiency/Application	The code is extremely efficient without sacrificing readability. Applies concepts and procedures to solve complex problems in a way that will be reusable in the future. Elegant and non-repititious code.	The code is fairly efficient without sacrificing readability. Applies concepts and procedures to solve complex problems. Little repititious code.	The code is sporadically efficient. Applies concepts and procedures to solve problems of some complexity. Some attempt to eliminate repititious code.	The code is brute force and unnecessarily long. Applies concepts and procedures to solve problems. Little effort to eliminate repititious code.	The code is unacceptable. Cannot apply concepts and procedures to solve problems to given specification. No effort to eliminate repititious code.
Accuracy	The program works and meets all specifications. Does an exceptional job of checking for errors and out-of-range data.	The program works and meets all specifications. Does some checking for errors and out-of-range data.	The program works and produces and displays correct results. Does little checking for errors and out-of-range data.	The program produces correct results, but does not display them correctly. Does not check for errors and out-of-range data.	The program produces incorrect results.
Communication in run-time (User interface - organization, directions, consistency)	Excellent user interface.	Good user interface.	Satisfactory user interface.	Poor user interface.	Useless user interface.
Creativity (if applicable)	plus 2 or more	plus 1			
TOTAL (and comments)					

Score
0